Furstenau v. City of Naperville, et al. Case No.: 07-CV-6143

Our File No.: 2044

EXHIBIT A

```
STATE OF ILLINOIS )
                          SS:
 2
     COUNTY OF DU PAGE )
     IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF DU PAGE COUNTY
 3
     FOR THE EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OF ILLINOIS
 4
     THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE
                                       ORIGINAL
 5
     OF ILLINOIS,
 6
                 Plaintiff,
 7
                                     No. 06 CM 302
            vs.
     RICHARD FURSTENAU,
 8
 9
                 Defendant.
10
            REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS had at the trial
11
     of the above-entitled cause, before Hon. CARY
12
     PIERCE, recorded on the DuPage County electronic
13
     recording system, transcribed by Sandra
14
     Gorajczyk, the 21st day of May, A.D. 2007.
15
     PRESENT:
16
          MR. JOSEPH E. BIRKETT, State's Attorney
17
          of DuPage County, by
          MR. ROBERT STANKER,
18
          Assistant State's Attorney,
19
               appeared on behalf of The People of
               the State of Illinois.
20
21/
                Sandra Gorajczyk 084-000455
             DuPage County Courthouse-Room 366
22
                 505 North County Farm Road
23
                      Wheaton, IL 60187
```

24

- illustrative of that, Judge.
- 2 I just wanted to address those two
- 3 points and with that, the State rests.
- 4 THE COURT: Thank you. Okay.
- 5 Well, it's obvious that the incident
- 6 occurred on or about the 1st of January of '06.
- 7 The complaint was signed on the 17th of
- 8 January, '06, initially for battery and
- 9 subsequently there's been an Information filed,
- 10 Count 2.
- Okay. I've considered the totality of
- 12 the evidence, the burden of proof that's placed
- 13 upon the prosecution, the State.
- I have considered the credibility of
- 15 the witness that testified through stipulation
- 16 and there's some observations I'm going to make.
- 17 First of all, there's no wrong or
- 18 inappropriate behavior shown by Officer Hall.
- 19 In fact, he probably demonstrated restraint.
- If there's any contact, it appears that
- 21 it was incidental and non-provoking.
- The officer -- any officer must have
- 23 thick skin in situations dealing with the
- 24 citizens of any community.

_	r chink this what occurred was
2	embarrassing, inappropriate.
3	There's no independent witness that
4	testified that any physical contact took place.
5	The yelling and loud behavior, even though it's
6	not appropriate, there's no independent witness
7	that testified that it was a breach of the peace.
8	Therefore, it's a not guilty
9	Count 1 and 2. Thank you.
10	(WHICH were all of the
11	proceedings had at the
12	hearing of the above-
13	entitled cause, this
14	date.)
.15	
16	
17	
18	
19	*
20	
21	
22	
23	